Criminal composition requirement of official abuses of special public officials As to the suitability,

- ① The principal is a special civil servant,  $\cdots$  facts It is police officers, prosecutors and judges.
- ② Having arrested and confined a person  $\cdot \cdot \cdot$  It was arrested and confined as a fact.
- ③ abuse of authority, established by. · · · Whether abusing official authority, but abuse is the illegal exercise of authority on duties, so that means and methods are not only violent and threatening, but also against the victims legally and virtually It is said that it is enough if it can oppress the freedom of decision making to the extent that you can accept the result.

Regarding job authority, as for police officers,

Article 189 of the Code of Criminal Procedure Police officers shall perform duties as judicial police officials, respectively, pursuant to other laws or by the National Public Safety Commission or the Prefectural Public Safety Commission.

When judicial police officials think that there is a crime, they will investigate the perpetrator and evidence.

It is stipulated.

Therefore, it is illegal to conduct investigation, arrest and confinement, even though crime is not imagined, that is, it is not in violation of any law, it is a crime of abuse of the official authority of special civil servants.

We urge freedom of decision making by exercising illegal content lie and arrest warrant etc and exercise authority on duties.

Criminal offense is established because this obvious illegal act is abuse of official absence because the crime of special public official abuses the official abilities of the special public official does not need deliberate intention.

## **Quality of Japanese lawyers**

Democratic municipal councilor consulted with Democratic counsel lawyer.

The answer is,